

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The final Office Action of July 14, 2005, has been carefully reviewed and these remarks are responsive thereto. Claims 70 and 71 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-71 remain pending after entry of this amendment. Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are respectfully requested.

#### ***Summary of Telephonic Interview***

Applicants thank Examiner Beamer for participating in the telephonic interview of November 3, 2005. Pursuant to the interview, Applicant has made the proposed amendments to clarify the language of claims 70 and 71. In addition, the Examiner indicated that an advisory action would not be issued in response to this amendment.

#### ***Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112***

Claims 70 and 71 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Amended independent claim 70 now recites, *inter alia*, “a transceiver: ...for transmitting the first updated piece of data provided to the first mobile terminal to the second mobile terminal via the communication link.” Similarly, amended independent claim 71 recites, *inter alia*, “a transceiver: ...for receiving the first updated piece of data transmitted from the first mobile terminal to the second mobile terminal via the communication link.” Applicant believes that the rearrangement of the language describing the configuration (i.e., transmitting and receiving responsibilities) of the transceiver provides sufficient clarity to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. As such, claims 70 and 71 are allowable for at least this reason.

Appln. No.: 09/580,101  
Amendment dated November 4, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of July 14, 2005

**CONCLUSION**


All rejections having been addressed, Applicants respectfully submits that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicits prompt notification of the same. However, if for any reason the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance or there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at (202) 824-3153.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: November 14, 2005

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ross A. Dannenberg  
Registration No. 49,024

1001 G Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001-4597  
Tel: (202) 824-3000  
Fax: (202) 824-3001